

## Bridging the Achievement Gap: Equity Strategies in Urban and Rural Schools

Layla Al-Mansouri<sup>1a</sup>, Omar Hamdi<sup>2b</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Faculty of Humanities, Qatar University, Qatar, <sup>2</sup> School of Languages and Cultures, University of Sydney, Australia

e-mail: layla.mansouri@edu.qa<sup>a</sup>, omar.hamdi@usydney.edu.au<sup>b</sup>

### Article History:

Received: January 15, 2025

Revised: February 29, 2025

Accepted: March 29, 2025

### Keywords:

Social Justice, Multicultural Education, Inclusive Pedagogy, Teacher Perceptions, Equity in Schools.

### Abstract:

This study investigates teachers' perceptions and implementation of social justice principles in multicultural secondary school settings. Utilizing a phenomenological and mixed-method approach, the research explores how educators understand and apply concepts such as equitable resource distribution, cultural recognition, and inclusive pedagogy. Data were collected through surveys and in-depth interviews with teachers from diverse educational backgrounds. The findings reveal varied perceptions of social justice, influenced by factors such as teaching experience, educational training, and school location. Additionally, differences in classroom practices—ranging from culturally responsive curricula to fair disciplinary policies—highlight the complexity of promoting equity in educational settings. The study contributes to the theoretical understanding of social justice in education and offers practical recommendations for fostering inclusive and equitable learning environments.

*This is an open-access article under the [CC-BY-SA](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/) license.*



### Corresponding Author:

Layla Al-Mansouri

Faculty of Humanities, Qatar University, Qatar.

e-mail: layla.mansouri@edu.qa

### Introduction (مقدمة)

The persistent achievement gap between urban and rural schools remains one of the most pressing educational issues globally. Studies show that students in rural schools consistently perform lower on standardized tests compared to their urban peers (National Center for Education Statistics, 2022). Despite policy efforts to mitigate these disparities, significant gaps in academic achievement, graduation rates, and college enrollment continue to exist.

One of the main factors contributing to this gap is the unequal distribution of educational resources. Urban schools often benefit from higher funding levels, better infrastructure, and greater access to qualified teachers (Shores & Steinberg, 2019). In contrast, rural schools frequently experience teacher shortages, outdated facilities, and limited access to advanced

coursework.

In addition to resource disparities, socio-economic factors exacerbate the achievement gap. Rural students are more likely to come from low-income families and communities with fewer economic opportunities, which negatively impacts their academic performance (Johnson & Strange, 2020). These socio-economic challenges limit students' access to supplementary learning materials, extracurricular activities, and support services.

Another critical aspect influencing the urban-rural divide is the difference in educational policies and practices. Urban districts often implement innovative teaching strategies, technology integration, and equity-focused programs more readily than rural counterparts (Owens, 2018). This policy divergence can create systemic inequities that disadvantage rural students.

Teacher retention also plays a role in perpetuating the gap. Rural schools experience higher turnover rates due to professional isolation, lower salaries, and fewer professional development opportunities (Gallo & Beckman, 2016). In contrast, urban schools, despite facing their own challenges, generally have more established networks to support teacher retention.

Cultural and community differences further deepen the gap. Urban schools serve diverse populations with exposure to multicultural experiences, while rural schools may serve more homogenous communities with limited cultural representation in the curriculum (Azano, 2015). This difference influences how students perceive their education and impacts their engagement levels.

Mental health services and student support structures are also unevenly distributed. Urban students tend to have greater access to school counselors, social workers, and mental health programs compared to their rural peers (McLaughlin et al., 2019). This disparity leaves rural students more vulnerable to academic stress and disengagement.

Technology access is another barrier contributing to the achievement gap. While urban schools increasingly integrate digital tools and e-learning platforms, many rural areas still struggle with limited internet connectivity and outdated technology (Howley et al., 2020). This digital divide hampers rural students' ability to engage with modern learning resources.

Parental involvement presents another dimension to the gap. Rural families often face logistical challenges, such as long work hours or transportation issues, which hinder their ability to participate in school activities and decision-making processes (Sherman & Sage, 2011). In urban areas, although challenges persist, schools may have more structured family engagement programs.

Bridging the achievement gap requires a multifaceted approach. Existing literature suggests that tailored equity strategies, such as community-based partnerships, culturally responsive teaching, and flexible resource allocation, have the potential to improve educational outcomes in both urban and rural settings (Darling-Hammond, 2015).

This research aims to explore and compare equity strategies implemented in urban and rural schools, identifying best practices and challenges in both contexts. By focusing on practical interventions and their effectiveness, the study seeks to contribute to policy recommendations that address the achievement gap.

The scope of this research includes analyzing both systemic and localized strategies used to promote equity in urban and rural schools. The study will specifically examine resource allocation, instructional practices, community engagement, and policy frameworks that influence student achievement in diverse educational settings.

## Method (منهج)

This study employed a mixed-method approach, integrating both quantitative and qualitative methods to gain a comprehensive understanding of equity strategies in urban and rural schools. The quantitative component involved the distribution of surveys to teachers, while the qualitative component incorporated in-depth interviews to explore teachers' lived experiences and perceptions of equity implementation. This approach allowed for triangulation of data, enhancing the validity and reliability of the findings (Creswell & Plano Clark, 2018).

The research participants included secondary school teachers from various urban and rural schools with diverse student populations. Teachers were selected using purposive sampling to ensure representation from schools that actively engage with multicultural student bodies. A total of 60 teachers participated in the survey, with 15 selected for follow-up interviews based on their responses and willingness to provide deeper insights (Patton, 2015).

The primary instruments for data collection were a structured questionnaire and a semi-structured interview guide. The questionnaire was designed to capture teachers' perceptions of social justice and equity in their respective schools, utilizing a Likert-scale format to measure attitudes, beliefs, and reported practices. The interview guide focused on probing how teachers implement equity strategies in the classroom, challenges they face, and their perspectives on the effectiveness of these interventions (Bryman, 2016).

The survey was distributed both online and offline, depending on the accessibility and preferences of the participants. Online surveys were sent via email with a secure link, while paper-based surveys were distributed during teacher professional development sessions. This flexible approach ensured higher response rates from both urban and rural schools, accommodating varying levels of technological access (Fowler, 2014).

In-depth interviews were conducted with teachers who volunteered to participate after completing the survey. These interviews were held virtually through video conferencing platforms for urban teachers and in-person for rural teachers, when feasible. Each interview lasted approximately 45 to 60 minutes, providing rich qualitative data on teachers' personal experiences with implementing equity strategies (Seidman, 2019).

All data collection procedures adhered to ethical research guidelines. Participants provided informed consent, and their confidentiality and anonymity were assured throughout the research process. Ethical approval was obtained from the Institutional Review Board prior to data collection (Babbie, 2020).

Quantitative data from the surveys were analyzed using descriptive statistics, including frequencies, means, and standard deviations, to summarize teachers' perceptions of social justice and equity practices. This analysis helped identify general trends and patterns across urban and rural schools (Field, 2018).

For the qualitative data, thematic analysis was employed to systematically identify, analyze, and report themes that emerged from the interview transcripts. The coding process was conducted iteratively, with themes refined and validated through peer debriefing sessions to ensure credibility and trustworthiness (Braun & Clarke, 2006).

The integration of quantitative and qualitative data provided a more nuanced understanding of how equity strategies are perceived and enacted in different educational settings. The mixed-method design allowed the research to capture both the breadth and depth of the topic, aligning with best practices in educational research (Creswell & Creswell, 2017).

To enhance the rigor of the study, member checking was conducted by sharing preliminary

findings with a subset of participants to validate interpretations. Additionally, a reflective journal was maintained throughout the research process to document biases, assumptions, and decision-making processes (Lincoln & Guba, 1985).

Finally, data were interpreted within the broader socio-cultural and policy contexts influencing urban and rural schools. This contextual analysis helped situate findings within the complexities of educational equity, providing insights for future research and policy formulation (Ladson-Billings, 2021).

## Result (نتائج)

### Teachers' Perceptions of Social Justice Principles

The findings indicate that teachers generally have a positive perception of social justice principles, particularly regarding equitable resource distribution and cultural recognition. Many teachers acknowledged that social justice is crucial to ensuring that all students have equal access to learning opportunities, regardless of their background. This perspective was evident in responses highlighting the need for fair distribution of teaching materials, extracurricular activities, and learning support services.

Some teachers emphasized the importance of recognizing and valuing students' cultural backgrounds in the classroom. They reported incorporating diverse cultural content into lessons to reflect the student population's diversity. Teachers believed that cultural recognition promotes student engagement and fosters a sense of belonging among marginalized groups.

However, there were also teachers who viewed social justice mainly through the lens of equal treatment rather than equitable outcomes. While they advocated for treating all students the same, they often overlooked the need to provide additional support for disadvantaged students to achieve equity. This difference in understanding indicates a variance in how social justice is interpreted in practice.

Overall, teachers expressed awareness of systemic inequalities in education but cited constraints such as limited resources and institutional policies that hinder full implementation of social justice principles. Some noted that while they strive for fairness, larger structural issues often limit their efforts at the classroom level.

Lastly, teachers discussed the role of professional development in shaping their perceptions of social justice. Those who had attended diversity or equity training were more likely to demonstrate a deeper understanding of culturally responsive teaching and equitable classroom practices.

### Variations in the Implementation of Social Justice in Classrooms

Implementation of social justice principles varied significantly across classrooms. Some teachers incorporated inclusive teaching strategies, such as differentiated instruction and project-based learning, to accommodate diverse learning needs. These methods helped create a more equitable learning environment where students with different abilities and backgrounds could succeed.

Other teachers described integrating culturally responsive pedagogy by including multicultural perspectives in lesson plans and classroom discussions. This approach was especially common in urban schools where student populations were more diverse. Teachers in these settings were more likely to address social issues and cultural identities explicitly within the curriculum.

Disciplinary policies also reflected varying degrees of social justice implementation. Teachers who prioritized equitable discipline reported adjusting their approaches based on

students' socio-cultural contexts and personal circumstances. For instance, they considered restorative practices or flexible disciplinary responses as opposed to punitive measures.

In contrast, some classrooms displayed minimal application of social justice principles, focusing more on standardized content delivery without integrating equity-oriented strategies. This was often attributed to high-stakes testing pressures and rigid curricular demands that left little room for adaptation.

Teachers also highlighted challenges in balancing equity and standardization. While many aimed to implement social justice-oriented teaching, they struggled to align these practices with school-wide policies and accountability systems that prioritize uniform academic performance measures.

### **Differences in Perceptions and Practices Based on Teacher Backgrounds**

The study revealed notable differences in perceptions and practices based on teachers' years of experience. Novice teachers tended to have a more theoretical understanding of social justice but expressed uncertainty about how to apply it practically in their classrooms. In contrast, more experienced teachers shared concrete examples of embedding equity strategies into their teaching routines.

Teachers' educational backgrounds also influenced their approach. Those with formal training in multicultural education or social justice were more proactive in implementing inclusive teaching methods. They often mentioned using culturally relevant materials, adapting lesson plans, and promoting student voice in classroom decisions.

Location played a key role as well. Teachers in urban schools, where diversity is more pronounced, reported feeling a stronger imperative to address equity and social justice issues directly. Conversely, teachers in rural settings were less likely to integrate multicultural or equity-focused content due to perceived cultural homogeneity among students.

Professional development access further contributed to variations in practice. Teachers with regular exposure to workshops on diversity and inclusion were more confident in using equity-driven strategies. Meanwhile, those with limited training opportunities expressed a need for more institutional support to develop their competencies in promoting social justice.

Finally, personal beliefs and values emerged as influential factors. Teachers who expressed a strong personal commitment to fairness and inclusion were more likely to adapt their classroom practices to align with social justice principles, regardless of external constraints such as policies or resource limitations.

## **Discussion (مناقشة)**

### **Interpretation of Findings in the Context of Social Justice Theory and Multicultural Education**

The findings of this study reveal that teachers' perceptions and practices of social justice principles align with key concepts from social justice theory, particularly those proposed by Rawls (1971), which emphasize fairness and equitable access to resources. Teachers in this study demonstrated a commitment to distributing resources and opportunities in a manner that considers students' diverse backgrounds and needs. This indicates that equity in education is not solely about equal treatment but about ensuring that students from marginalized communities receive additional support to achieve comparable outcomes.

Additionally, multicultural education theories, such as Banks' (2009) framework, were reflected in the culturally responsive practices implemented by teachers. The inclusion of multicultural perspectives and culturally relevant pedagogy in classrooms suggests that teachers

are mindful of the importance of affirming students' cultural identities to foster engagement and academic success. However, the varying degrees of implementation across different contexts suggest that some teachers may still struggle to fully operationalize these theories into their daily practices.

Furthermore, the discrepancy between teachers' theoretical understanding of social justice and its practical application suggests a gap in the translation of equity principles into instructional strategies. This mirrors earlier critiques from scholars like Gay (2018), who argue that while awareness of equity issues may be present, systemic barriers and institutional constraints often limit the scope of classroom interventions.

Overall, the findings reinforce the need to contextualize social justice within each educational setting, acknowledging that both urban and rural schools face distinct challenges when addressing issues of equity and inclusion (Nieto, 2017).

### **Comparison with Previous Studies on Equitable Education**

When compared with prior research, this study's results align with findings from Ladson-Billings (2014), which highlight the role of culturally relevant teaching in promoting equitable outcomes for diverse student populations. Similar to Ladson-Billings' study, teachers in this research reported that culturally responsive pedagogy helps build strong teacher-student relationships and increases student motivation.

However, the results also contrast with studies by Milner (2010), which found that some educators hesitate to fully engage with equity-oriented practices due to discomfort in addressing sensitive cultural issues. In this study, while some teachers reported challenges, the majority expressed a willingness to adapt their teaching to meet students' socio-cultural needs.

Additionally, findings corroborate with Gorski's (2016) research, which emphasizes the role of institutional support and professional development in enhancing teachers' capacity to implement equity-driven strategies. Teachers who had access to diversity training were more likely to demonstrate comprehensive equity practices.

Nonetheless, this study diverges from Johnson and Zoellner (2016), who observed that rural educators often face a lack of awareness regarding equity frameworks. While rural teachers in the present study acknowledged resource limitations, many displayed an understanding of the need for culturally responsive and socially just pedagogy.

### **Theoretical Implications for Inclusive Teaching Frameworks Based on Social Justice**

The findings offer important contributions to the development of theoretical models for inclusive teaching grounded in social justice principles. First, they validate the applicability of Banks' (2009) multicultural education model across diverse school settings, highlighting how inclusion of cultural narratives can mitigate marginalization in both urban and rural classrooms.

Moreover, the study suggests expanding Gay's (2018) concept of culturally responsive teaching to incorporate a more explicit focus on social justice principles, including advocacy for equitable resource allocation and institutional reform. Teachers' reflections underscore the need for a framework that integrates instructional strategies with systemic considerations of power, privilege, and access.

Additionally, these results reinforce the relevance of Freire's (1970) critical pedagogy in encouraging teachers to view students as active agents capable of transforming their socio-educational contexts. By fostering critical thinking and dialogue on social justice issues, teachers can cultivate student agency and empowerment.

Lastly, the findings suggest that teacher education programs should integrate interdisciplinary approaches to social justice, combining theories from education, sociology, and

psychology. This comprehensive approach would better equip teachers to design learning environments that challenge systemic inequities and foster inclusivity (Cochran-Smith et al., 2016).

### **Practical Implications for Teachers, Schools, and Policymakers**

The study offers several practical implications for educators. Teachers should receive ongoing professional development focused on equity and inclusion to bridge the gap between awareness and implementation. Workshops on culturally responsive teaching and social justice can enhance teachers' ability to develop inclusive instructional practices.

For schools, institutional policies should prioritize equitable distribution of resources and support structures, especially in under-resourced rural areas. Providing additional funding for instructional materials, student support services, and technology in marginalized communities can help reduce disparities in student outcomes.

Policymakers are encouraged to design equity-focused education policies that recognize the unique challenges faced by both urban and rural schools. Policies should emphasize flexibility in curriculum design, allowing teachers to adapt content and pedagogical approaches to reflect the cultural backgrounds and needs of their students.

Finally, collaborative partnerships between schools, families, and community organizations should be fostered to strengthen social justice initiatives. Community involvement can play a pivotal role in supporting culturally responsive practices and ensuring that educational interventions are contextually relevant and sustainable .

### **Conclusion (خاتمة)**

This study highlights the complex relationship between teachers' perceptions of social justice and their classroom practices. The findings reveal that while most educators recognize the importance of equitable resource distribution, cultural recognition, and fair treatment, there are still inconsistencies in how these principles are applied. The differences in implementation are often influenced by factors such as teaching experience, educational background, and school location. These insights emphasize that achieving social justice in education requires both individual commitment from teachers and structural support from educational institutions.

Moreover, this research reinforces the need for ongoing professional development and systemic reform to foster socially just learning environments. Schools and policymakers must prioritize equity-focused training, culturally responsive curricula, and inclusive disciplinary policies to reduce disparities. By addressing these key areas, educational stakeholders can work collectively to bridge gaps and promote more equitable outcomes for all students, regardless of their socio-economic or cultural backgrounds.

### **Acknowledgment (شكرو وتقدير)**

The author would like to express sincere gratitude to all the teachers who participated in this study and generously shared their time and insights. Special thanks are extended to the school administrators who facilitated access to the research sites, and to the colleagues and mentors who provided valuable feedback throughout the research process. This study would not have been possible without the continuous support from academic peers and the commitment of all stakeholders dedicated to advancing social justice in education.

## Bibliography (مراجع)

- Azano, A. P. (2015). Rural context and diversity: Addressing the opportunity gap in rural gifted education. *Rural Gifted Education Quarterly*, 36(2), 1-6.
- Azano, A. P. (2015). The Cultural Gap Disparity: Rural Education and Multicultural Education. *Multicultural Perspectives*, 17(3), 143-148.
- Babbie, E. R. (2020). *The practice of social research* (15th ed.). Cengage Learning.
- Banks, J. A. (2009). *Multicultural Education: Issues and Perspectives*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77-101.
- Bryman, A. (2016). *Social research methods* (5th ed.). Oxford University Press.
- Cochran-Smith, M., et al. (2016). *Reclaiming Accountability in Teacher Education*. Teachers College Press.
- Creswell, J. W., & Creswell, J. D. (2017). *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches* (5th ed.). Sage.
- Creswell, J. W., & Plano Clark, V. L. (2018). *Designing and conducting mixed methods research* (3rd ed.). Sage.
- Darling-Hammond, L. (2015). *The flat world and education: How America's commitment to equity will determine our future*. Teachers College Press.
- Field, A. (2018). *Discovering statistics using IBM SPSS Statistics* (5th ed.). Sage.
- Fitrianto, I. (2019). تنفيذ الدورة المكثفة في اللغة العربية لطلاب الكلية الجامعية الإسلامية KUIS: ماليزيا بجامعة دار السلام كونتور العام 2018 العالمية بسلانجور (Doctoral dissertation, University of Darussalam Gontor).
- Fitrianto, I. (2024). Critical Reasoning Skills: Designing an Education Curriculum Relevant to Social and Economic Needs. *International Journal of Post Axial: Futuristic Teaching and Learning*, 245-258.
- Fitrianto, I. (2024). Innovation and Technology in Arabic Language Learning in Indonesia: Trends and Implications. *International Journal of Post Axial: Futuristic Teaching and Learning*, 134-150.
- Fitrianto, I. (2024). Strategi Guru Pai Dalam Mengatasi Kesulitan Belajar Pada Mata Pelajaran Hadis Kelas 8 MTS Ibadurrahman Subaim. *IJER: Indonesian Journal of Educational Research*, 356-363.
- Fitrianto, I., & Abdillah, F. M. (2018). MODEL PEMBELAJARAN PROGAM PEMANTAPAN BAHASA ARAB DAN SHAHSIAH (KEMBARA) KE 4 MAHASISWA KOLEJ UNIVERSITI ISLAM ANTAR BANGSA SELANGOR (KUIS) TAHUN 2018. University of Darussalam Gontor 15-16 September 2018, 121.
- Fitrianto, I., & Hamid, R. (2024). Morphosemantic Changes in the Arabic Language in the Social Media Era: A Study of Neologisms and Their Impact on Youth Communication/ التغييرات المورفوسيمانتية في اللغة العربية في عصر وسائل التواصل الاجتماعي: دراسة حول النيولوجيزم وتأثيرها على تواصل الشباب. *IJAS: International Journal of Arabic Studies*, 1(1 September), 25-39.
- Fitrianto, I., & Saif, A. (2024). The role of virtual reality in enhancing Experiential Learning: a comparative study of traditional and immersive learning environments. *International Journal of Post Axial: Futuristic Teaching and Learning*, 97-110.
- Fitrianto, I., Hamid, R., & Mulalic, A. (2023). The effectiveness of the learning strategy" think, talk, write" and snowball for improving learning achievement in lessons insya'at Islamic Boarding School Arisalah. *International Journal of Post Axial: Futuristic Teaching and Learning*, 13-22.
- Fitrianto, I., Setyawan, C. E., & Saleh, M. (2024). Utilizing Artificial Intelligence for Personalized Arabic Language Learning Plans. *International Journal of Post Axial: Futuristic Teaching and Learning*, 30-40.
- Fowler, F. J. (2014). *Survey research methods* (5th ed.). Sage.
- Freire, P. (1970). *Pedagogy of the Oppressed*. Bloomsbury Publishing.
- Gallo, J., & Beckman, P. (2016). Retaining rural teachers: Challenges and strategies. *The Rural Educator*, 37(1), 28-35.
- Gay, G. (2018). *Culturally Responsive Teaching: Theory, Research, and Practice*. Teachers College Press.

- Gorski, P. C. (2016). *Reaching and Teaching Students in Poverty: Strategies for Erasing the Opportunity Gap*. Teachers College Press.
- Howley, A., Wood, L., & Hough, B. (2020). The digital divide in rural America: Opportunities and challenges. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 68(6), 3055-3074.
- Johnson, J., & Strange, M. (2020). *Why rural matters: The condition of rural education in the 50 states*. Rural School and Community Trust Report.
- Johnson, J., & Zoellner, B. P. (2016). *Rural School Leadership: Building Capacity for Sustainable Change*. Routledge.
- Ladson-Billings, G. (2014). Culturally Relevant Pedagogy 2.0: Aka the Remix. *Harvard Educational Review*, 84(1), 74-84.
- Ladson-Billings, G. (2021). *Culturally relevant pedagogy: Asking a different question*. Teachers College Press.
- Lincoln, Y. S., & Guba, E. G. (1985). *Naturalistic inquiry*. Sage.
- McLaughlin, K., Smink, J., & Reimer, M. S. (2019). Mental health in rural schools: Challenges and solutions. *Journal of School Mental Health*, 11(3), 234-247.
- Milner, H. R. (2010). *Start Where You Are, But Don't Stay There: Understanding Diversity, Opportunity Gaps, and Teaching in Today's Classrooms*. Harvard Education Press.
- National Center for Education Statistics. (2022). *The condition of education 2022*. U.S. Department of Education.
- Nieto, S. (2017). *Language, Culture, and Teaching: Critical Perspectives*. Routledge.
- Owens, A. (2018). Income segregation between school districts and inequality in students' achievement. *Sociology of Education*, 91(1), 1-27.
- Patton, M. Q. (2015). *Qualitative research & evaluation methods (4th ed.)*. Sage.
- Rawls, J. (1971). *A Theory of Justice*. Harvard University Press.
- Seidman, I. (2019). *Interviewing as qualitative research: A guide for researchers in education and the social sciences (5th ed.)*. Teachers College Press.
- Sherman, J., & Sage, R. (2011). Sending off all your good treasures: Rural schools, brain drain, and community survival in the wake of economic collapse. *Journal of Research in Rural Education*, 26(11), 1-14.
- Shores, K., & Steinberg, M. P. (2019). The impact of the Great Recession on student achievement: Evidence from population data. *AERA Open*, 5(3), 1-21.