

Innovating Economics Education: Exploring Pedagogical Practices for Meaningful Economic Learning

Hunaida Annamira¹, Dina Nazhifa²

¹ Department of Research and Education, Namira Research Institute, United Arab Emirates ²Center for Economic Research, CESG Foundation, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia.

e-mail: hunaida.a@gmail.com¹, nazhifa.d2@gmail.com²

Article History:

Received: Jul 31, 2026

Revised: Aug 28, 2026

Accepted: Sep 29, 2026

Keywords:

Pedagogical Innovation;
Economics Education;
Meaningful Learning; Economic
Literacy; Active Learning;
Qualitative Case Study.

Abstract:

Pedagogical innovation has become increasingly important in economics education as students need to develop not only conceptual knowledge but also the ability to interpret and apply economic concepts to real-life situations. This study explores how innovative pedagogical practices are implemented in economics education and how they contribute to students' meaningful economic learning. Employing a qualitative case study design, data were collected through classroom observations, semi-structured interviews, and document analysis involving teachers and students engaged in economics learning. The data were analyzed thematically to identify patterns of pedagogical practice and their contribution to meaningful learning. The findings indicate that pedagogical innovation was reflected in contextualized learning, authentic economic problem-solving, inquiry-oriented activities, collaborative learning, case-based learning, and the development of critical thinking. These practices encouraged students to connect new economic concepts with prior knowledge and everyday experiences, actively participate in classroom interaction, analyze economic problems, evaluate alternative perspectives, and apply economic concepts to practical situations. The study also identified challenges related to instructional preparation, time allocation, classroom management, and teacher competence. Overall, the findings suggest that meaningful innovation in economics education is not simply a matter of introducing new teaching techniques but involves transforming the learning process from content transmission toward active interpretation, inquiry, application, and knowledge construction.

This is an open-access article under the [CC-BY-SA](#) license.



Corresponding Author:

Hunaida Annamira

Department of Research and Education, Namira Research Institute, United Arab Emirates

e-mail: hunaida.a@gmail.com¹

Introduction (مقدمة)

Economics education plays an important role in developing learners' understanding of how individuals, institutions, markets, and governments make decisions under conditions of scarcity and competing needs. Beyond the acquisition of economic concepts, economics education is expected to help learners interpret economic phenomena, evaluate alternative choices, and apply

economic knowledge to situations encountered in everyday life. Concepts such as supply and demand, inflation, unemployment, consumption, production, financial decision-making, and economic inequality are not merely abstract topics but are closely connected to the social and economic realities experienced by learners. Consequently, effective economics education requires pedagogical practices that enable students to connect theoretical concepts with authentic economic contexts and develop the capacity to use knowledge meaningfully (Walstad & Soper, 1989; Davies, 2015).

Despite this expectation, economics learning in many educational settings remains strongly associated with teacher-centered instruction, textbook-oriented learning, and the transmission of conceptual information. Students may be required to memorize definitions, principles, formulas, and economic terminology without sufficiently engaging with the problems and situations to which these concepts apply. Such practices can create a gap between knowing economic concepts and being able to interpret economic issues in real-life contexts. When learning is primarily organized around the delivery of content, students may have limited opportunities to question assumptions, analyze evidence, discuss alternative perspectives, or formulate economic arguments. This condition suggests the need to reconsider how economics is taught and how learners are positioned within the learning process (Becker, 1997; Walstad & Soper, 1989).

The growing complexity of contemporary economic life further strengthens the need for pedagogical innovation in economics education. Learners are increasingly exposed to economic information through social media, digital news, advertising, financial platforms, and everyday consumption practices. They encounter issues such as inflation, digital transactions, online consumption, financial risks, unemployment, economic inequality, and changing labor markets long before these issues are formally discussed in the classroom. This environment creates both opportunities and challenges for economics educators. Teachers need to move beyond simply transferring economic knowledge and instead develop learning experiences that help students critically interpret, evaluate, and apply economic information in meaningful contexts (OECD, 2020; Lusardi, 2019).

Pedagogical innovation provides an important framework for responding to these challenges. Pedagogical innovation can be understood as the deliberate development or adoption of new ways of organizing teaching and learning to improve learners' educational experiences and outcomes. Innovation does not necessarily mean the introduction of sophisticated technology or completely new teaching methods. Rather, it may involve changing how teachers structure learning activities, formulate problems, facilitate discussion, use authentic contexts, assess learning, and encourage students to participate actively in knowledge construction (Hargreaves, 2003; OECD, 2019). In economics education, such innovation can involve problem-based learning, inquiry-based learning, case analysis, simulations, collaborative learning, project-based activities, contextual learning, and other learner-centered approaches (Prince, 2004; Freeman et al., 2014).

Meaningful learning represents an important orientation for such pedagogical innovation. Learning becomes meaningful when students are able to connect new knowledge with prior understanding and recognize its relevance to authentic situations. From a constructivist perspective, learners do not simply receive knowledge from teachers but actively construct meaning by relating new information to existing cognitive structures and experiences (Ausubel, 1968; Novak, 2010). In economics education, meaningful learning requires more than students being able to reproduce economic definitions or solve predetermined exercises. Students should be encouraged to understand why an economic phenomenon occurs, how different economic factors interact, and how economic concepts can be used to interpret situations beyond the classroom. Connecting classroom content with students' everyday economic experiences can therefore make abstract concepts more accessible while simultaneously encouraging deeper conceptual understanding (Novak, 2010).

The development of economic literacy also provides a significant rationale for innovative pedagogical practices. Economic literacy involves the capacity to understand economic concepts,

evaluate economic information, make informed decisions, and recognize the consequences of economic choices. These competencies are particularly important in an environment in which individuals must make increasingly complex decisions concerning consumption, saving, borrowing, employment, investment, and the use of digital financial services. Economics education should therefore contribute not only to academic achievement but also to learners' capacity to become informed and responsible participants in economic and social life (Walstad & Soper, 1989; OECD, 2020). Economic literacy consequently provides an important outcome through which the relevance of economics education can be understood.

Pedagogical innovation can also create opportunities for developing higher-order thinking in economics education. Economic issues are rarely characterized by a single correct answer because they involve competing interests, limited resources, uncertainty, and different interpretations of evidence. For this reason, students need opportunities to analyze economic problems, compare alternatives, evaluate evidence, and justify decisions. Classroom activities based on authentic cases and economic problems can shift learning from the passive reception of information toward analytical engagement. Through such processes, students can develop critical thinking while simultaneously strengthening their understanding of economic concepts (Ennis, 2011; Prince, 2004). Active learning approaches have similarly been associated with improved student engagement and learning outcomes across educational contexts (Freeman et al., 2014).

Another important dimension of innovative economics pedagogy is the relationship between classroom learning and students' lived experiences. Economic phenomena are present in students' daily lives through household consumption, transportation costs, food prices, digital purchases, employment decisions, and family financial practices. These experiences can become valuable learning resources when teachers intentionally connect them with formal economic concepts. For example, changes in food prices can be used to discuss inflation and purchasing power, while students' experiences with online shopping can provide a context for discussing consumer behavior and market structures. Such contextualization can reduce the perceived distance between economics as a school subject and economics as a dimension of everyday life. Contextual and experience-based learning can support learners in constructing knowledge that is connected to situations beyond the classroom (Kolb, 1984; Lave & Wenger, 1991).

However, pedagogical innovation should not be understood simply as the replacement of conventional teaching methods with fashionable instructional techniques. The effectiveness of an innovative practice depends on its alignment with learning objectives, student characteristics, content complexity, classroom context, and teachers' pedagogical competence. An instructional strategy may be considered innovative in one educational context but may not necessarily produce meaningful learning if it is implemented without adequate preparation or clear pedagogical purposes. Therefore, examining pedagogical innovation requires attention not only to what instructional practices teachers employ but also to how those practices are implemented and how learners experience them (Fullan, 2007; Hargreaves, 2003).

Previous research on innovative teaching has demonstrated the potential of active, collaborative, contextual, inquiry-oriented, and problem-based approaches to promote student engagement and deeper learning. Active learning, in particular, has been shown to improve student performance compared with traditional lecturing across a wide range of educational settings (Freeman et al., 2014). Nevertheless, much of the discussion surrounding educational innovation tends to emphasize the adoption of particular instructional models or technologies, while less attention is given to the pedagogical processes through which innovation becomes meaningful within actual economics classrooms. In particular, there remains a need to understand how teachers adapt innovative practices to economic content, how students respond to these practices, and how classroom activities facilitate connections between economic concepts and real-world experiences. This gap is important because pedagogical innovation is inherently

contextual and cannot be fully understood through the identification of instructional models alone.

The present study therefore focuses on the implementation of pedagogical innovation in economics education by examining how teachers employ innovative pedagogical practices to facilitate meaningful economic learning. Rather than evaluating a single instructional technology or predetermined teaching model, the study explores the forms of pedagogical innovation that emerge in the learning process, the ways teachers organize students' engagement with economic concepts, and the mechanisms through which classroom experiences are connected with authentic economic contexts. This perspective allows the study to capture pedagogical innovation as a process of transforming teaching and learning rather than merely adopting a new instructional technique (Fullan, 2007).

The study aims to contribute to the broader discussion of educational innovation by positioning economics education as a context in which meaningful learning can be developed through purposeful pedagogical transformation. By examining teachers' practices, classroom interactions, learning activities, and students' engagement with economic issues, the study seeks to identify pedagogical practices that facilitate conceptual understanding, critical thinking, economic literacy, and the application of knowledge to everyday contexts. Accordingly, the research is guided by the following question: How are innovative pedagogical practices implemented in economics education, and how do these practices contribute to students' meaningful economic learning? The findings are expected to provide pedagogical insights for economics teachers and educational institutions seeking to move from content-centered instruction toward more active, contextual, and meaningful approaches to economics education.

Method (منهج)

This study employed a qualitative case study design to explore how pedagogical innovation is implemented in economics education and how innovative pedagogical practices contribute to meaningful economic learning. A qualitative approach was considered appropriate because the study focused on understanding teachers' practices, classroom interactions, learners' experiences, and the contextual processes through which pedagogical innovation takes place. Rather than measuring the effectiveness of a particular instructional intervention, the study sought to develop an in-depth understanding of pedagogical practices within their natural educational setting (Creswell & Poth, 2018; Merriam & Tisdell, 2016).

The study was conducted in an educational setting in which economics was taught as part of the formal curriculum and where teachers had opportunities to employ varied instructional practices. The research site was selected purposively because it provided a relevant context for examining pedagogical innovation in economics education. Case study research emphasizes the importance of investigating a contemporary educational phenomenon within its real-life context, particularly when the boundaries between the phenomenon and its context are not clearly separated (Yin, 2018). Accordingly, the selected setting allowed the researchers to examine pedagogical innovation as it naturally occurred in classroom practice rather than as an isolated instructional intervention.

Participants were selected through purposive sampling based on their relevance to the research objectives. The participants included economics teachers and students who were directly involved in the learning process. Teachers were selected because they were responsible for planning and implementing pedagogical practices, while students were included to provide perspectives on their learning experiences, engagement, understanding, and responses to innovative instructional activities. Purposive sampling enables researchers to select information-rich participants who can provide detailed and relevant accounts of the phenomenon under investigation (Patton, 2015).

Data were collected through three complementary techniques: classroom observation, semi-structured interviews, and document analysis. Classroom observations were conducted to examine how teachers designed and implemented learning activities, how students participated in classroom interactions, and how economic concepts were connected with authentic contexts. Semi-structured interviews were conducted with teachers and selected students to explore their perceptions of innovative pedagogical practices, the challenges encountered during implementation, and their experiences of meaningful economic learning. Document analysis included lesson plans, teaching materials, learning activities, assessment instruments, and other relevant instructional documents. The combination of these techniques enabled the researchers to examine pedagogical innovation from multiple perspectives (Merriam & Tisdell, 2016; Yin, 2018).

The classroom observation protocol was developed around several dimensions of pedagogical innovation identified from the relevant literature. These dimensions included learner-centered instruction, active participation, contextualization of economic concepts, inquiry and problem-solving activities, collaborative learning, use of authentic economic cases, opportunities for critical thinking, and connections between classroom knowledge and everyday economic experiences. The observations also examined the role of teachers in facilitating learning rather than merely transmitting information. This framework was used as an analytical guide while allowing additional themes to emerge inductively from the observed classroom practices (Prince, 2004; Fullan, 2007).

The semi-structured interviews focused on how teachers understood and implemented pedagogical innovation and how students experienced the resulting learning activities. Teacher interviews explored instructional planning, reasons for adopting particular pedagogical practices, perceptions of student engagement, challenges in implementation, and strategies used to connect economic concepts with real-world situations. Student interviews explored their experiences of participation, understanding of economic concepts, relevance of classroom activities, opportunities for discussion and problem solving, and perceptions of how innovative practices influenced their learning. Semi-structured interviews provided sufficient consistency across participants while allowing the researcher to pursue emerging issues in greater depth (Patton, 2015).

Data analysis was conducted using thematic analysis. The analysis began with repeated reading of observation notes, interview transcripts, and relevant documents to develop familiarity with the data. The researchers then generated initial codes representing significant statements, activities, interactions, and experiences related to pedagogical innovation and meaningful economic learning. Related codes were subsequently grouped into broader categories, which were examined and refined into themes. Thematic analysis was selected because it provides a systematic yet flexible approach for identifying patterns of meaning within qualitative data (Braun & Clarke, 2006; Braun & Clarke, 2021).

The analytical process was guided by two interconnected dimensions: pedagogical innovation and meaningful economic learning. The first dimension focused on identifying how teachers transformed conventional instructional practices through active, contextual, collaborative, inquiry-oriented, or problem-based activities. The second dimension examined how these practices supported students' conceptual understanding, engagement, critical thinking, economic literacy, and ability to connect economic knowledge with everyday situations. The relationship between the two dimensions was then examined to determine how particular pedagogical practices created conditions for meaningful learning. This analytical approach recognizes that meaningful learning is influenced not only by the instructional strategy itself but also by how learners connect new knowledge with prior knowledge and authentic experiences (Ausubel, 1968; Novak, 2010).

To enhance the credibility of the findings, the study employed data triangulation by comparing evidence obtained from classroom observations, interviews, and instructional documents. Statements obtained from interviews were compared with observed classroom practices and relevant teaching materials to identify areas of convergence and divergence. Member checking was also used where appropriate by providing participants with opportunities to clarify or confirm the interpretation of their accounts. Maintaining an audit trail of the research process further supported transparency and dependability. These strategies were consistent with established criteria for ensuring credibility, dependability, confirmability, and transferability in qualitative research (Lincoln & Guba, 1985; Denzin, 1978).

Ethical considerations were addressed throughout the research process. Participants were informed about the purpose of the study, the voluntary nature of their participation, and their right to withdraw from the study without negative consequences. Appropriate consent was obtained before interviews and observations were conducted. Participants' identities and potentially identifiable information were protected through the use of pseudonyms or generalized descriptions in the research report. All collected data were treated confidentially and used solely for research purposes. Through these procedures, the study sought to ensure that the investigation of pedagogical innovation in economics education was conducted in a systematic, credible, and ethically responsible manner (Creswell & Poth, 2018; Merriam & Tisdell, 2016).

Result (نتائج)

The analysis revealed that pedagogical innovation in economics education was primarily reflected in changes in the way teachers organized learning experiences rather than in the adoption of entirely new instructional technologies. The observed practices indicated a gradual movement from teacher-dominated instruction toward learning activities that encouraged students to participate, discuss, analyze, and construct economic understanding. Teachers increasingly positioned themselves as facilitators who guided students through economic problems and learning activities rather than simply transmitting economic information. This transformation created greater opportunities for students to engage directly with economic concepts and classroom tasks.

A prominent finding was the use of contextualized economic learning. Teachers connected abstract economic concepts with situations that students could recognize from their everyday lives, including consumption patterns, changes in prices, household expenditure, online shopping, and other familiar economic activities. These contexts served as entry points for introducing or explaining formal economic concepts. Rather than presenting economic principles exclusively through textbook definitions, teachers encouraged students to interpret how these principles operated in situations they encountered outside the classroom. This contextual orientation helped reduce the distance between theoretical economic knowledge and students' everyday experiences.

The findings also showed the use of authentic economic problems as learning stimuli. Instead of beginning learning exclusively with theoretical explanations, some learning activities were organized around questions or problems related to actual economic conditions. Students were encouraged to examine causes, consequences, possible solutions, and alternative perspectives associated with economic problems. Such activities shifted the learning process from recalling information toward analyzing and interpreting economic situations. The use of authentic problems also created opportunities for students to recognize that economic phenomena are complex and may involve multiple interacting factors.

Inquiry-oriented learning represented another important dimension of the pedagogical

innovation identified in the study. Students were given opportunities to ask questions, search for relevant information, compare explanations, and formulate conclusions based on evidence. In this process, teachers acted as facilitators by providing guiding questions and helping students evaluate the relevance of information. The inquiry process encouraged learners to become more active participants in constructing economic knowledge. It also supported the development of analytical habits that are important for understanding economic issues beyond the classroom.

Collaborative learning was also identified as a recurring pedagogical practice. Students were encouraged to work in pairs or small groups to discuss economic problems, analyze cases, exchange interpretations, and present their conclusions. Group interaction allowed students to encounter different perspectives concerning economic issues and required them to explain or justify their reasoning to others. The collaborative dimension therefore extended learning beyond individual comprehension and created opportunities for students to develop communication and argumentation skills while engaging with economic content.

The findings further indicated that case-based activities were used to facilitate students' understanding of economic concepts. Teachers presented specific situations or economic cases and asked students to identify relevant concepts, analyze the factors involved, and discuss possible consequences or responses. Cases related to consumption, market behavior, price changes, employment, and other economic issues provided concrete contexts through which abstract concepts could be examined. The use of cases enabled students to move between theoretical concepts and practical situations, thereby strengthening the connection between economic knowledge and real-world interpretation.

Another finding concerned the development of students' critical thinking. Innovative pedagogical activities created opportunities for students to question information, compare alternative explanations, evaluate economic consequences, and formulate reasoned judgments. Rather than assuming that economic questions always had a single straightforward answer, students were encouraged to consider the interests, constraints, and consequences associated with different economic decisions. This process was particularly visible when students were asked to justify their conclusions or respond to alternative viewpoints presented during classroom discussions.

The implementation of innovative practices also appeared to influence student engagement. Learning activities involving discussion, authentic problems, cases, and collaborative tasks generated more opportunities for students to participate verbally and interact with their peers. Students were not positioned solely as recipients of information but were required to contribute ideas, ask questions, explain their reasoning, and respond to other perspectives. This increased participation suggested that pedagogical innovation influenced not only the cognitive dimension of learning but also the behavioral and interactive dimensions of classroom engagement.

The analysis further identified a strong relationship between pedagogical innovation and meaningful economic learning. Students were more likely to demonstrate meaningful understanding when they could connect new economic concepts with prior knowledge and personal or social experiences. For example, familiar economic activities could provide a basis for understanding more abstract concepts, allowing students to interpret new information through contexts that were already recognizable to them. Meaningful learning therefore emerged not simply from the presentation of economic content but from the process of connecting concepts, experiences, problems, and reflection.

The findings also highlighted the development of economic literacy as an important outcome of innovative pedagogical practices. Students were exposed to activities that required them to interpret economic information, understand economic relationships, consider the

consequences of economic decisions, and apply economic concepts to practical situations. Economic learning consequently extended beyond academic knowledge toward the development of competencies relevant to everyday decision-making. The classroom became a space in which students could begin to understand how economic concepts influence individual choices, household decisions, market behavior, and broader social conditions.

Figure 1. Relationship Between Pedagogical Innovation and Meaningful Learning

Pedagogical Innovation	Cognitive Process	Learner Activity	Learning Outcome
Contextualized learning	Connecting new concepts with prior knowledge	Relating economic concepts to daily experiences	Deeper conceptual understanding
Authentic problems	Analyzing economic situations	Identifying causes, consequences, and solutions	Problem-solving ability
Inquiry learning	Investigating and evaluating information	Asking questions and searching for evidence	Analytical thinking
Collaborative learning	Sharing and negotiating meaning	Discussing and explaining ideas with peers	Social construction of knowledge
Case-based learning	Applying concepts to concrete situations	Analyzing economic cases	Application of economic knowledge
Critical-thinking activities	Evaluating alternatives and consequences	Justifying economic arguments	Critical economic reasoning
Active participation	Processing and articulating knowledge	Asking, responding, discussing, presenting	Increased engagement
Reflective learning	Connecting experience with conceptual understanding	Reflecting on economic phenomena	Meaningful and transferable learning

The thematic analysis indicates that pedagogical innovation in economics education was not represented by a single instructional technique but by an interconnected set of practices that transformed the organization of learning. Contextualization and authentic economic problems provided the foundation for connecting abstract concepts with students' everyday experiences, while inquiry, collaboration, and case-based learning created opportunities for students to actively construct and apply knowledge. These practices were further strengthened by activities requiring critical thinking and justification, which encouraged students to move beyond the reproduction of economic information toward interpretation and evaluation.

The findings also demonstrate a clear relationship between pedagogical innovation and meaningful economic learning. Innovative practices created opportunities for learners to activate prior knowledge, engage with authentic economic situations, exchange perspectives, analyze information, and apply concepts to practical problems. Consequently, meaningful learning emerged through the interaction between pedagogical practice, learner engagement, cognitive processing, and real-world economic context. At the same time, the findings indicate that successful implementation depends substantially on teachers' ability to adapt innovative practices to classroom conditions, students' prior knowledge, available resources, and instructional objectives.

However, the implementation of pedagogical innovation was not without challenges. The findings indicated that innovative activities required greater preparation, classroom management, instructional flexibility, and time than conventional content-delivery approaches. Teachers needed to select appropriate economic cases, formulate meaningful questions, prepare learning resources, and facilitate classroom interaction. Differences in students' prior knowledge and levels of participation also influenced the implementation of innovative practices. These challenges demonstrate that pedagogical innovation is not simply a matter of adopting new activities but requires teachers to make continuous pedagogical decisions according to classroom conditions.

Overall, the findings indicate that pedagogical innovation in economics education can be understood as a process involving several interconnected elements: contextualization, authentic

economic problems, inquiry, collaboration, case-based learning, critical thinking, and active student engagement. These elements contributed to meaningful economic learning by enabling students to connect theoretical concepts with prior knowledge, everyday experiences, and real-world economic problems. The results suggest that the central value of pedagogical innovation lies not in the novelty of a particular teaching technique but in its capacity to transform students' relationship with economic knowledge—from receiving and reproducing information toward interpreting, applying, discussing, and constructing meaning from economic phenomena.

Discussion (مناقشة)

The findings demonstrate that pedagogical innovation in economics education is better understood as a transformation in the organization and experience of learning rather than simply the adoption of new teaching techniques. The movement from teacher-dominated instruction toward facilitation, discussion, inquiry, and active participation indicates a shift in the role of both teachers and students. This finding is consistent with the broader understanding of pedagogical innovation as a process of changing teaching and learning practices to improve educational experiences and outcomes (Fullan, 2007; Hargreaves, 2003). In economics education, such transformation is particularly important because economic knowledge requires students not only to understand concepts but also to interpret relationships, evaluate alternatives, and apply knowledge to complex social situations.

One of the most significant findings concerns the use of contextualized learning to connect economic concepts with students' everyday experiences. Economic concepts such as consumption, prices, household expenditure, and market behavior become more meaningful when students encounter them through situations that are familiar and relevant. This finding supports the constructivist view that meaningful learning occurs when learners connect new knowledge with existing cognitive structures and prior experiences (Ausubel, 1968). Novak (2010) similarly emphasizes that meaningful learning involves the integration of new knowledge into learners' existing conceptual frameworks. In economics education, contextualization therefore functions not merely as an illustrative technique but as a mechanism through which abstract economic concepts become personally and socially meaningful.

The use of authentic economic problems further demonstrates the importance of connecting classroom learning with real-world economic phenomena. The findings show that students were encouraged to examine causes, consequences, and possible responses to economic problems rather than merely reproduce definitions or principles. Such practices are consistent with active learning approaches, in which students engage cognitively with problems and participate in the process of constructing understanding (Prince, 2004). Authentic economic problems are particularly valuable because economic phenomena are inherently contextual and involve competing interests, limited resources, uncertainty, and alternative choices. Consequently, problem-oriented pedagogy can help students understand economics as a way of interpreting social reality rather than simply as a collection of theoretical concepts.

The inquiry-oriented practices identified in the findings also indicate a movement toward more intellectually demanding economics education. When students are encouraged to formulate questions, investigate information, compare explanations, and draw conclusions, learning becomes a process of investigation rather than passive reception. This finding is compatible with the principles of inquiry-based and active learning, which emphasize learners' active involvement in questioning, reasoning, and knowledge construction. Freeman et al. (2014) demonstrated that active learning can produce stronger learning outcomes than traditional lecture-based instruction across a range of educational contexts. In economics education, inquiry is particularly relevant because students frequently encounter competing claims about economic

issues and therefore need to develop the ability to examine evidence and construct reasoned interpretations.

Collaborative learning emerged as another important component of pedagogical innovation. The findings suggest that group discussion and peer interaction provided students with opportunities to exchange perspectives, explain economic reasoning, and negotiate interpretations. Such interaction extends learning beyond individual cognition and creates a social environment in which knowledge is constructed through communication and participation. This interpretation is consistent with the perspective of situated learning, which emphasizes that learning is embedded within social participation and meaningful activity (Lave & Wenger, 1991). In economics education, collaborative discussion can be particularly productive because many economic issues allow for different interpretations and involve competing perspectives. Students therefore benefit from encountering and responding to alternative economic arguments.

The findings concerning case-based learning further reinforce the importance of concrete contexts in economics education. Economic cases allow learners to move between abstract principles and specific situations, thereby providing opportunities to apply concepts rather than simply recall them. This movement between conceptual knowledge and practical application is central to meaningful learning because learners must understand not only what a concept means but also when and how it can be used. Experiential learning theory similarly emphasizes the importance of connecting experience, reflection, conceptualization, and application in the learning process (Kolb, 1984). Case-based economics instruction can therefore serve as a bridge between disciplinary knowledge and the complex economic situations that learners encounter beyond the classroom.

Another important finding is the emergence of critical thinking through innovative pedagogical practices. Students were encouraged to evaluate information, compare alternatives, consider consequences, and justify their conclusions. These activities are particularly appropriate for economics because economic decisions rarely exist independently of social values, resource constraints, and competing interests. Economics education should consequently provide opportunities for students to examine assumptions and evaluate the implications of different choices rather than simply identify supposedly correct answers. The development of critical thinking through active engagement also reflects the broader pedagogical argument that students learn more deeply when they are required to process, explain, evaluate, and apply knowledge rather than merely receive it (Prince, 2004).

The increased student engagement identified in the findings can also be interpreted as an important consequence of pedagogical innovation. When students participate in discussions, analyze cases, investigate problems, and present their reasoning, they become more visibly involved in the learning process. Engagement in this context is not limited to behavioral participation; it also involves cognitive investment in understanding and solving economic problems. Freeman et al. (2014) provide empirical support for the value of active learning in increasing student performance, suggesting that learning environments requiring active participation can be more effective than exclusive reliance on traditional lectures. Thus, pedagogical innovation may influence meaningful learning partly by creating conditions in which students are more actively involved in processing economic knowledge.

The relationship between pedagogical innovation and meaningful learning represents one of the central findings of this study. Meaningful learning emerged when students were able to connect new economic concepts with prior knowledge, everyday experiences, and authentic economic situations. This finding directly corresponds with Ausubel's (1968) proposition that learning becomes meaningful when new knowledge is related substantively to what learners already know. Novak (2010) further explains that meaningful learning involves the integration

and organization of concepts within learners' existing knowledge structures. From this perspective, innovative pedagogy is valuable not because it is new in itself, but because it creates conditions that enable learners to establish meaningful relationships among concepts, experiences, and real-world problems.

The findings also indicate that pedagogical innovation can contribute to the development of economic literacy. When students interpret economic information, analyze economic problems, evaluate consequences, and apply concepts to practical situations, economics education extends beyond academic achievement toward functional economic understanding. Economic literacy is particularly important because individuals continuously encounter economic decisions involving consumption, saving, employment, financial services, and resource allocation. Walstad and Soper (1989) emphasize the importance of economic literacy as an educational outcome that enables learners to understand fundamental economic concepts and use them to interpret economic situations. The present findings suggest that pedagogical practices emphasizing application, contextualization, and critical analysis can provide a meaningful pathway toward this broader educational purpose.

At the same time, the findings reveal that pedagogical innovation involves significant implementation challenges. Innovative learning requires teachers to prepare appropriate cases and problems, design meaningful activities, manage classroom interaction, respond to differences in student readiness, and allocate sufficient instructional time. This finding supports the argument that educational change is not achieved simply by introducing a new instructional practice; it requires changes in teachers' roles, institutional conditions, and the broader culture of teaching and learning (Fullan, 2007). Hargreaves (2003) similarly emphasizes that educational innovation is embedded within professional and institutional contexts. Therefore, the success of innovative economics pedagogy depends not only on the quality of the instructional idea but also on teachers' pedagogical competence and their capacity to adapt innovation to particular classroom conditions.

Overall, the findings suggest that meaningful pedagogical innovation in economics education is best conceptualized as an integrated process rather than a collection of isolated teaching strategies. Contextualization provides relevance, authentic problems provide purpose, inquiry promotes investigation, collaboration facilitates social interaction, case-based learning connects theory with practice, and critical-thinking activities encourage evaluation and judgment. Together, these practices transform economics learning from the reproduction of disciplinary information toward the interpretation, application, and construction of economic meaning. This interpretation extends the discussion of pedagogical innovation by emphasizing that the central criterion of innovation should not be the novelty of a teaching method but its capacity to create meaningful learning experiences and develop learners' ability to understand and engage critically with economic life.

Conclusion (خاتمة)

This study concludes that pedagogical innovation can transform economics education from predominantly content-centered instruction into a more active, contextual, and meaningful learning process. The findings demonstrate that contextualized learning, authentic economic problems, inquiry-oriented activities, collaborative learning, case-based learning, and critical-thinking activities can create opportunities for students to connect economic concepts with prior knowledge and everyday experiences. These practices support meaningful economic learning by encouraging students to interpret economic phenomena, exchange perspectives, analyze evidence, apply concepts, and formulate reasoned judgments. The study therefore highlights that the value of pedagogical innovation does not primarily depend on the novelty of a teaching

technique but on its capacity to facilitate active engagement and meaningful connections between economic knowledge and real-life contexts.

The study further concludes that implementing pedagogical innovation requires teachers to function as facilitators of learning and to adapt instructional practices to students' needs, prior knowledge, classroom conditions, and learning objectives. Although innovative pedagogy can support conceptual understanding, critical thinking, student engagement, and economic literacy, its implementation requires adequate preparation, pedagogical competence, time, and institutional support. Economics education should therefore move beyond the transmission and memorization of economic concepts toward learning environments in which students actively investigate, discuss, interpret, and apply economic knowledge. Such a pedagogical orientation can strengthen the relevance of economics education and better prepare learners to understand and respond critically to the economic issues they encounter in everyday life.

Acknowledgment (شکرو تقدیر)

The authors would like to express their sincere gratitude to all individuals who contributed to the completion of this study. Special appreciation is extended to the teachers and students who participated in the research and generously shared their experiences, perspectives, and insights regarding pedagogical practices in economics education. The authors also appreciate the support and constructive contributions of colleagues and other parties who assisted throughout the research process and manuscript preparation. Their cooperation and support were invaluable to the completion of this study.

Bibliography (مراجع)

- Ausubel, D. P. (1968). *Educational psychology: A cognitive view*. Holt, Rinehart and Winston.
- Becker, W. E. (1997). Teaching economics to undergraduates. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 35(3), 1347-1373.
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77-101. <https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa>
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2021). *Thematic analysis: A practical guide*. SAGE Publications.
- Creswell, J. W., & Poth, C. N. (2018). *Qualitative inquiry and research design: Choosing among five approaches* (4th ed.). SAGE Publications.
- Davies, P. (2015). Towards a framework for financial literacy in economics education. *International Review of Economics Education*, 19, 1-10. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iree.2015.02.001>
- Denzin, N. K. (1978). *The research act: A theoretical introduction to sociological methods* (2nd ed.). McGraw-Hill.
- Ennis, R. H. (2011). *The nature of critical thinking: An outline of critical thinking dispositions and abilities*. University of Illinois.
- Fitrianto, I. (2024). Critical Reasoning Skills: Designing an Education Curriculum Relevant to Social and Economic Needs. *International Journal of Post Axial: Futuristic Teaching and Learning*, 245-258
- Fitrianto, I. (2024). Innovation and Technology in Arabic Language Learning in Indonesia: Trends and Implications. *International Journal of Post Axial: Futuristic Teaching and Learning*, 134-150.
- Fitrianto, I. (2024). Strategi Guru Pai Dalam Mengatasi Kesulitan Belajar Pada Mata Pelajaran Hadis Kelas 8 MTS Ibadurrahman Subaim. *IJER: Indonesian Journal of Educational Research*, 356-363.
- Fitrianto, I. (2025). Beyond Competence: Rethinking Education for Holistic Well-Being and Happiness. *International Journal of Post Axial: Futuristic Teaching and Learning*, 1-11.
- Fitrianto, I., & Abdillah, F. M. (2018). MODEL PEMBELAJARAN PROGAM PEMANTAPAN BAHASA ARAB DAN SHAHSIAH (KEMBARA) KE 4 MAHASISWA KOLEJ UNIVERSITI ISLAM ANTAR BANGSA SELANGOR (KUIS) TAHUN 2018. University of Darussalam Gontor 15-16 September 2018, 121.

- Fitrianto, I., & Farisi, M. (2025). Integrating Local Wisdom into 21st Century Skills: A Contextual Framework for Culturally Relevant Pedagogy in Rural Classrooms. *International Journal of Post Axial: Futuristic Teaching and Learning*, 109-121.
- Fitrianto, I., & Layalin, N. A. (2025). The Paradigm of Physical Punishment from the Perspective of Islamic Education and Its Implementation in Indonesia and Malaysia. *International Journal of Post Axial: Futuristic Teaching and Learning*, 147-156.
- Fitrianto, I., & Saif, A. (2024). The role of virtual reality in enhancing Experiential Learning: a comparative study of traditional and immersive learning environments. *International Journal of Post Axial: Futuristic Teaching and Learning*, 97-110.
- Fitrianto, I., Al-Faruqi, M. R., & Hanifah, N. A. (2025). The Contributions of Ibn Malik to Arabic Language Education: A Historical and Pedagogical Analysis. *IJAS: International Journal of Arabic Studies*, 1-11.
- Fitrianto, I., Hamid, R., & Mulalic, A. (2023). The effectiveness of the learning strategy" think, talk, write" and snowball for improving learning achievement in lessons insya'at Islamic Boarding School Arisalah. *International Journal of Post Axial: Futuristic Teaching and Learning*, 13-22.
- Freeman, S., Eddy, S. L., McDonough, M., Smith, M. K., Okoroafor, N., Jordt, H., & Wenderoth, M. P. (2014). Active learning increases student performance in science, engineering, and mathematics. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 111(23), 8410-8415. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1319030111>
- Fullan, M. (2007). *The new meaning of educational change* (4th ed.). Teachers College Press.
- Hargreaves, A. (2003). Teaching in the knowledge society: Education in the age of insecurity. *Teachers College Press*.
- Kolb, D. A. (1984). *Experiential learning: Experience as the source of learning and development*. Prentice Hall.
- Lave, J., & Wenger, E. (1991). *Situated learning: Legitimate peripheral participation*. Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511815355>
- Lincoln, Y. S., & Guba, E. G. (1985). *Naturalistic inquiry*. SAGE Publications.
- Lusardi, A. (2019). Financial literacy and the need for financial education: Evidence and implications. *Swiss Journal of Economics and Statistics*, 155, Article 1. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41937-019-0027-5>
- Merriam, S. B., & Tisdell, E. J. (2016). *Qualitative research: A guide to design and implementation* (4th ed.). Jossey-Bass.
- Novak, J. D. (2010). *Learning, creating, and using knowledge: Concept maps as facilitative tools in schools and corporations* (2nd ed.). Routledge.
- OECD. (2019). *OECD future of education and skills 2030: OECD learning compass 2030*. OECD Publishing.
- OECD. (2020). *PISA 2018 results: Are students smart about money? (Volume IV)*. OECD Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1787/48ebd1ba-en>
- Patton, M. Q. (2015). *Qualitative research & evaluation methods: Integrating theory and practice* (4th ed.). SAGE Publications.
- Prince, M. (2004). Does active learning work? A review of the research. *Journal of Engineering Education*, 93(3), 223-231. <https://doi.org/10.1002/j.2168-9830.2004.tb00809.x>
- Walstad, W. B., & Soper, J. C. (1989). What is high school economic literacy? *The Journal of Economic Education*, 20(2), 139-151. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00220485.1989.10844622>
- Yin, R. K. (2018). *Case study research and applications: Design and methods* (6th ed.). SAGE Publications.