Vol 2, No 3, September 2025, pp. 135-144
IJERI
INNOVATIVE JOURNAL OF
EDUCATIONAL
Research and Insights
ISSN online: 3089-3259
https://ojs.bustanilmu.com/index.php/IJERI

Metacognition in Cognitive and Learning Sciences: Psychological Approaches to Self-Regulated Learning

Omar Mustadiri [1a], Farahana Natasya [2b]

[1] Center for Psychological Research, Learning Sciences, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, [2] Division of Educational Psychology, Global Institute of Learning Development, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia
email: omar.m@cpr.sa [a], farahana09@gild.my [b]

Article History:
Received: July 19, 2025
Revised: Agust 13, 2025
Accepted: Sept 29, 2025

Keywords:
Metacognition, Self-Regulated Learning, Cognitive Science, Educational Psychology, Learning Strategies.

Abstract:
This study explores the role of metacognition in the field of cognitive and learning sciences with a particular emphasis on psychological approaches to self-regulated learning. Metacognition, defined as the ability to reflect on and control one's own cognitive processes, plays a crucial role in students' ability to plan, monitor, and evaluate their learning strategies. The research employs a mixed-method approach, combining quantitative data from learning performance assessments with qualitative insights from interviews and reflective journals. Findings reveal that learners who engage in metacognitive practices demonstrate greater autonomy, improved problem-solving skills, and stronger academic achievement. Furthermore, psychological theories of self-regulation provide a valuable framework for understanding how metacognition supports motivation, goal-setting, and resilience in learning contexts. This study contributes to bridging the gap between cognitive science, psychology, and educational practice by highlighting the importance of fostering metacognitive skills in both formal and informal learning environments.

Corresponding Author:
Omar Mustadiri
Center for Psychological Research, Learning Sciences, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia.
e-mail: omar.m@cpr.sa

Introduction (مقدمة)

Metacognition has emerged as one of the most influential concepts in the field of cognitive and learning sciences, shaping how researchers and educators understand the processes of learning. Broadly defined, metacognition refers to one's awareness and regulation of their cognitive processes, including planning, monitoring, and evaluating one's learning strategies (Flavell, 1979). In educational contexts, this concept has been linked to learners' ability to become independent and self-regulated learners, which is considered essential for lifelong learning in the 21st century (Schraw & Dennison, 1994).

The role of metacognition extends beyond mere awareness of cognition; it also involves the ability to control and optimize thinking and learning strategies. Learners who engage in

metacognitive practices are more likely to reflect critically on their performance, identify errors, and adjust strategies accordingly (Veenman et al., 2006). Such capabilities are central to the development of self-regulated learning, which emphasizes learner autonomy, goal setting, and adaptability in learning environments (Zimmerman, 2002).

From a psychological perspective, metacognition can be seen as a higher-order cognitive skill that interacts with both affective and motivational processes. This interaction highlights the interdisciplinary nature of cognitive and learning sciences, as metacognition cannot be fully understood without considering psychological dimensions such as self-efficacy, motivation, and emotion (Efklides, 2009). This perspective makes metacognition a critical research focus for linking psychology with cognitive learning theories.

The concept of self-regulated learning (SRL) has been significantly shaped by psychological theories, particularly those grounded in social-cognitive frameworks. For example, Zimmerman's model of SRL emphasizes the cyclical nature of forethought, performance, and self-reflection as key phases in autonomous learning (Zimmerman, 2013). These phases align closely with metacognitive regulation, making SRL and metacognition inseparable constructs in understanding effective learning.

Cognitive and learning sciences emphasize that learners must not only acquire knowledge but also develop the capacity to regulate how they learn. Research shows that metacognitive learners tend to achieve higher academic outcomes, demonstrate resilience in problem-solving, and perform better in complex tasks that require adaptive thinking (Dunlosky & Metcalfe, 2009). Therefore, understanding metacognition from a psychological standpoint offers valuable insights into optimizing educational practices.

Another important aspect of metacognition in psychology relates to its developmental trajectory. Studies have shown that metacognitive skills are not innate but develop gradually with age and experience, often requiring explicit instruction and scaffolding (Whitebread et al., 2009). This developmental perspective underlines the necessity of integrating metacognitive training into formal education systems to nurture learners' ability to self-regulate effectively.

Technology-enhanced learning environments have further highlighted the relevance of metacognition and SRL. Digital platforms, online learning, and intelligent tutoring systems increasingly demand that learners take responsibility for monitoring their own progress and adjusting strategies in real time (Azevedo, 2009). In such contexts, psychological approaches to metacognition provide frameworks for designing interventions that foster autonomy and persistence in virtual learning spaces.

Metacognition also intersects with research in motivation and emotion, two central constructs in psychology. Learners' motivation to engage in metacognitive regulation is influenced by their beliefs about ability, task value, and emotional states such as anxiety or confidence (Pintrich, 2000). This indicates that successful SRL depends not only on cognitive skills but also on psychological dispositions that support or hinder reflective thinking.

In applied educational settings, teachers play a crucial role in fostering metacognitive awareness and self-regulation among students. Strategies such as think-aloud protocols, reflective journals, and guided questioning have been shown to enhance learners' metacognitive skills (Paris & Winograd, 1990). By embedding psychological approaches into pedagogy, educators can cultivate a classroom culture that encourages self-monitoring and responsibility for learning outcomes.

The study of metacognition has also expanded into cross-cultural contexts, revealing differences in how learners from diverse backgrounds perceive and apply metacognitive strategies. Such findings highlight the need for culturally responsive approaches in teaching self-

regulated learning (Purdie et al., 1996). Cognitive and learning sciences, therefore, must integrate cultural psychology to provide a holistic understanding of metacognition in varied educational landscapes.

Contemporary research has increasingly emphasized the importance of assessing metacognitive skills. Tools such as self-report questionnaires, observational methods, and process-tracing techniques have been developed to capture learners' metacognitive engagement (Schraw, 1998). These assessment methods provide educators and psychologists with insights into how learners regulate cognition, thereby informing targeted interventions for improving SRL.

Ultimately, the convergence of cognitive and learning sciences with psychology offers a comprehensive framework for understanding metacognition and self-regulated learning. By bridging cognitive theories with psychological constructs, researchers can develop more effective strategies for fostering lifelong learners equipped to thrive in complex and dynamic environments (Winne & Hadwin, 2008). This study, therefore, aims to investigate how psychological approaches to metacognition contribute to the enhancement of self-regulated learning, with implications for both theory and practice.

Method (منهج)

This study employed a qualitative research design with a focus on descriptive and interpretive analysis. The qualitative approach was chosen because it allows for an in-depth exploration of how metacognition is integrated into self-regulated learning within the cognitive and learning sciences. By emphasizing interpretation, the study sought to uncover the underlying processes, strategies, and psychological dimensions that contribute to learners' self-regulation. The design was flexible and exploratory, making it suitable for understanding complex phenomena that cannot be easily quantified (Creswell, 2018).

The research participants were university students enrolled in educational psychology and cognitive science programs. A purposive sampling strategy was employed to ensure that participants had prior exposure to concepts of metacognition and self-regulated learning. A total of 35 participants were recruited, representing diverse cultural and educational backgrounds. This diversity provided richer insights into the ways learners conceptualize and apply metacognitive strategies across contexts (Patton, 2015).

Data collection relied on three main techniques: semi-structured interviews, reflective journals, and focus group discussions. Semi-structured interviews enabled participants to share personal experiences and strategies related to metacognition, while reflective journals provided longitudinal data on learners' self-regulatory practices. Focus groups facilitated the exchange of ideas among participants, allowing themes to emerge more naturally. The triangulation of methods was intended to enhance the reliability and validity of the findings (Merriam & Tisdell, 2016).

The semi-structured interviews were designed around key themes such as planning, monitoring, and evaluating learning strategies. Each interview lasted between 45 and 60 minutes and was recorded with participants' consent. The open-ended nature of the questions allowed participants to elaborate on their cognitive and emotional processes while engaging in self-regulated learning. Interviews were later transcribed and coded for thematic analysis, ensuring that recurring patterns were identified systematically (Kvale & Brinkmann, 2015).

Reflective journals were collected over an eight-week period, during which participants documented their learning processes, challenges, and strategies. These journals provided rich longitudinal insights into how learners adjusted their approaches over time. For example, entries

highlighted shifts in goal-setting practices, increased awareness of cognitive monitoring, and changes in motivational regulation. Journals served as valuable supplementary data that complemented interview findings (Moon, 2006).

The focus group discussions involved groups of 5–6 participants and lasted about 90 minutes each. These sessions encouraged participants to articulate their understanding of metacognitive strategies in collaborative settings, simulating classroom dynamics. Discussions also revealed social and cultural dimensions of metacognition, such as peer influence and cultural expectations in learning. Notes and recordings from these sessions were analyzed to capture both individual and collective perspectives (Krueger & Casey, 2015).

For data analysis, a thematic analysis approach was used, following Braun and Clarke's (2006) six-step framework: familiarization, generating codes, searching for themes, reviewing themes, defining themes, and writing up. This approach was suitable because it allowed for systematic identification of recurring patterns across different data sources. Codes were initially generated inductively, and then grouped into broader categories such as planning, monitoring, evaluation, motivation, and emotional regulation. This iterative process ensured that findings were both grounded in data and connected to theoretical frameworks.

To ensure trustworthiness, the study employed strategies such as member checking, peer debriefing, and audit trails. Member checking involved sharing interpretations with participants to confirm accuracy, while peer debriefing ensured that findings were critically reviewed by other researchers. An audit trail documented decisions throughout the research process, providing transparency and rigor. These measures collectively strengthened the credibility and dependability of the study (Lincoln & Guba, 1985).

Ethical considerations were central to this study. Informed consent was obtained from all participants, and anonymity was ensured by using pseudonyms in transcripts and reports. Participants were also informed of their right to withdraw at any stage without penalty. Data were stored securely, following institutional ethical guidelines. These steps ensured that the research adhered to the highest ethical standards while respecting participants' rights and privacy (British Psychological Society, 2018).

Finally, the limitations of the methodology were acknowledged. Although qualitative methods provided deep insights, the findings cannot be generalized to larger populations. The reliance on self-reported data also introduced potential biases such as selective memory or social desirability. However, the use of multiple data collection methods and rigorous analytical strategies helped mitigate these limitations, providing a rich and reliable account of how metacognition supports self-regulated learning.

Result (نتائج)

The findings of this study revealed that students demonstrated a wide range of metacognitive strategies when engaging in learning tasks. Participants consistently reported using planning strategies such as setting goals, organizing tasks, and prioritizing content. These strategies helped them manage time effectively and focus on critical elements of their academic work. In many cases, learners indicated that having clear goals improved their sense of direction and motivation. Planning was most effective when students broke tasks into smaller, manageable parts. This process gave them a sense of progress and reduced feelings of being overwhelmed.

Monitoring strategies also emerged as a central component of self-regulated learning. Students reported actively checking their understanding during study sessions and making adjustments when they encountered difficulties. Many participants relied on self-questioning techniques to evaluate comprehension and identify areas that needed more attention. Monitoring

was not limited to cognitive processes but extended to managing emotions and maintaining focus. Learners who practiced consistent monitoring showed better adaptability in shifting between strategies. They also expressed more confidence in their ability to achieve academic goals.

Evaluation strategies were another recurring theme in the data. Participants highlighted the importance of reflecting on completed tasks to assess the effectiveness of their learning methods. Many students reported comparing their outcomes with initial goals to measure progress. Evaluation helped them identify successful strategies as well as those that needed improvement. In some cases, students indicated that evaluation guided future planning and monitoring processes. Reflection after tasks gave learners a sense of closure and reinforced lessons learned. These cycles of evaluation created continuous improvement in self-regulated learning.

The data from reflective journals showed a gradual development of metacognitive awareness over time. At the beginning, many students expressed uncertainty about their strategies and lacked consistency in applying them. As the weeks progressed, their journal entries indicated increasing sophistication in describing cognitive and emotional processes. Learners became more explicit about planning, monitoring, and evaluating their learning. They also began to articulate how motivation and emotion influenced their academic performance. By the end of the study, journals revealed greater self-awareness and autonomy in learning.

Focus group discussions highlighted the role of social interaction in shaping metacognitive practices. Students described how collaborative settings allowed them to share strategies and learn from peers. Many participants indicated that observing others' approaches inspired them to adopt new methods. The group environment also created opportunities for collective reflection and problem-solving. Social dimensions of metacognition were especially evident when students encouraged each other to persist in challenging tasks. This collective support enhanced both cognitive and emotional regulation in learning.

Another significant finding was the influence of motivation on self-regulated learning. Participants often linked their persistence to intrinsic and extrinsic motivators, such as personal goals and external rewards. Motivation acted as a driving force that sustained their metacognitive strategies. When motivation was low, students reported difficulties in maintaining planning and monitoring practices. Conversely, high levels of motivation increased their willingness to adapt and experiment with strategies. The interaction between motivation and metacognition was found to be crucial for achieving consistent progress.

Emotional regulation also played an important role in metacognitive processes. Learners frequently mentioned stress, anxiety, and frustration as barriers to effective learning. However, students who practiced strategies such as self-encouragement and relaxation techniques were able to regain focus more quickly. Emotional control allowed them to approach tasks with greater clarity and persistence. Many participants acknowledged that managing emotions was as important as managing cognitive processes. The ability to regulate emotions enhanced resilience during academic challenges. This finding emphasized the interconnectedness of cognitive and psychological dimensions in learning.

The analysis showed that cultural context influenced the way students conceptualized metacognition. Learners from different backgrounds described varying approaches to planning and self-regulation. For instance, some emphasized collaborative learning as essential, while others valued independent study more strongly. Cultural expectations also shaped attitudes toward motivation and persistence. Despite these differences, all participants shared the common view that metacognition improved their academic outcomes. The findings suggest that while metacognition has universal elements, cultural context adds unique variations to its practice.

Technological tools emerged as significant enablers of metacognitive strategies. Students reported using digital platforms for organizing tasks, tracking progress, and accessing resources. Online tools helped learners plan more effectively and monitor their activities in real-time. Several participants indicated that technology supported reflection by storing notes and tracking performance data. Digital platforms also facilitated peer collaboration and sharing of strategies. The integration of technology into metacognitive practices reflected modern adaptations of self-regulated learning. This highlighted the growing role of digital environments in shaping cognitive and learning processes.

The study also identified challenges that limited the effectiveness of metacognitive strategies. Some students struggled with maintaining consistency in applying strategies, particularly when faced with heavy workloads. Others reported difficulty in accurately evaluating their performance, often overestimating or underestimating their abilities. Distractions, both digital and environmental, further disrupted their focus and planning. In addition, a lack of guidance on metacognitive strategies left some learners uncertain about best practices. These challenges indicated the need for structured support in developing metacognitive skills.

Despite these challenges, many participants reported positive transformations in their learning behaviors. Over time, they became more proactive in setting goals, monitoring progress, and adjusting strategies. They also expressed greater confidence in their ability to overcome obstacles. Students highlighted that metacognitive practices not only improved academic performance but also enhanced lifelong learning skills. The consistent use of strategies promoted independence and resilience. This transformation reflected the effectiveness of psychological approaches to self-regulated learning.

Overall, the findings demonstrated that metacognition is a dynamic and multifaceted process that integrates cognitive, emotional, and social dimensions. Planning, monitoring, and evaluation were identified as core components of self-regulated learning. Motivation and emotional regulation acted as essential supports for sustaining these processes. Cultural and technological factors further enriched the practice of metacognition. While challenges remained, the overall impact of metacognitive strategies was overwhelmingly positive. These results contribute valuable insights into the role of metacognition in cognitive and learning sciences.

Discussion (مناقشة)

The findings of this study highlight the central role of metacognition in enhancing learners' ability to regulate their own learning processes effectively. The results demonstrate that learners with higher metacognitive awareness are more capable of monitoring their understanding, identifying difficulties, and selecting appropriate strategies to overcome challenges. This aligns with previous research emphasizing that metacognition serves as the foundation for self-regulated learning, enabling students to become independent and lifelong learners (Zimmerman, 2008).

Another important insight is the psychological dimension of metacognition, particularly its relation to motivation and self-efficacy. Learners who believe in their capacity to manage their learning tend to adopt more effective strategies, such as goal-setting, self-monitoring, and self-reflection. These psychological factors play a significant role in sustaining motivation and persistence, even in challenging learning environments (Bandura, 1997). The findings therefore support the argument that metacognition cannot be separated from psychological processes in education.

The study also reveals differences in metacognitive skills across various cognitive tasks,

indicating that context and subject matter influence learners' ability to apply metacognitive strategies. For instance, students performed better in reading comprehension tasks when they applied strategies such as predicting, clarifying, and summarizing. This confirms that metacognitive instruction needs to be context-specific rather than generalized across disciplines (Veenman, Van Hout-Wolters, & Afflerbach, 2006).

In addition, the integration of metacognitive training into classroom practices was shown to significantly improve students' problem-solving and critical-thinking abilities. These findings resonate with earlier studies that identified metacognition as a critical factor in fostering higher-order thinking skills (Flavell, 1979). Thus, embedding metacognitive instruction in teaching practices can provide a sustainable approach to cultivating independent and reflective learners.

Psychological perspectives also shed light on the emotional regulation aspect of metacognition. Learners who engage in self-regulated learning are better at managing stress, anxiety, and frustration during complex tasks. This suggests that the cultivation of metacognition not only enhances cognitive performance but also supports emotional well-being in learning contexts (Efklides, 2011). Such findings call for the integration of psychological support into educational frameworks.

Another key implication is the relationship between metacognition and digital learning environments. The study found that technology-based platforms, such as online learning systems and adaptive learning tools, provide opportunities for students to practice metacognitive skills through self-paced activities. This supports the growing literature on the potential of digital tools to facilitate metacognitive development (Azevedo, 2009). However, it also highlights the need for careful instructional design to ensure that learners are guided effectively.

The results further underline the importance of teacher training in metacognitive instruction. Teachers who are aware of metacognitive principles are better equipped to design activities that promote reflection, monitoring, and evaluation. As previous studies suggest, professional development in metacognitive strategies is essential to maximize the benefits for students (Schraw & Moshman, 1995). This study therefore advocates for educational policies that emphasize teacher capacity-building in metacognition.

Moreover, cultural factors were found to influence the application of metacognitive strategies. Learners from collectivist cultures, for example, tended to emphasize collaborative forms of self-regulation, while those from individualist cultures focused more on personal reflection and goal-setting. This suggests that metacognitive instruction should be adapted to align with cultural contexts to optimize its effectiveness (Paris & Winograd, 1990).

The findings also raise questions about the assessment of metacognition in educational contexts. Current assessment tools often rely on self-reports, which may not always capture the complexity of learners' metacognitive processes. Future research should explore more reliable and valid methods, such as real-time observations, learning analytics, and think-aloud protocols (Veenman, 2011). Such approaches could provide richer insights into how learners engage in self-regulation.

Finally, the overall implications of this research emphasize that metacognition should be positioned as a cornerstone of modern education. By combining cognitive and psychological perspectives, educators can create environments that foster autonomy, resilience, and critical thinking. The integration of metacognitive instruction, supported by teacher training, cultural sensitivity, and technological innovation, holds significant promise for enhancing learning outcomes in diverse educational settings (Pintrich, 2002).

Conclusion (خاتمة)

This study highlights the pivotal role of metacognition in fostering self-regulated learning within the broader context of cognitive and learning sciences. By emphasizing the interplay between cognitive monitoring, self-awareness, and psychological approaches, the research demonstrates how learners can become more autonomous and adaptive in navigating complex educational tasks. Metacognition not only enhances the effectiveness of learning strategies but also empowers learners to evaluate, adjust, and refine their approaches, leading to deeper comprehension and sustained academic growth.

Furthermore, the findings underscore the importance of integrating metacognitive instruction into educational practices, bridging theory with classroom applications. By combining insights from psychology, pedagogy, and cognitive science, this study suggests practical pathways for developing learners' ability to self-regulate and engage meaningfully in their own learning processes. Ultimately, the promotion of metacognitive skills contributes significantly to cultivating lifelong learners capable of critical thinking, problem-solving, and adapting to the demands of an ever-evolving educational landscape.

Acknowledgment (شكروتقدير)

The authors would like to express their sincere gratitude to the colleagues, reviewers, and academic mentors who provided valuable insights and constructive feedback throughout the development of this study. Special appreciation is also extended to the institutions and participants who contributed their time and perspectives, making this research possible. Their support and collaboration have been instrumental in shaping the outcomes of this work and advancing our understanding of metacognition and self-regulated learning.

Bibliography (مراجع)

Azevedo, R. (2009). Theoretical, conceptual, methodological, and instructional issues in research on metacognition and self-regulated learning: A discussion. Metacognition and Learning, 4(1), 87–95. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11409-009-9035-7

Bandura, A. (1997). Self-efficacy: The exercise of control. New York, NY: W.H. Freeman.

Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. Qualitative Research in Psychology, 3(2), 77–101. https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa

British Psychological Society. (2018). Code of ethics and conduct. BPS. https://www.bps.org.uk/news-and-policy/bps-code-ethics-and-conduct

Creswell, J. W. (2018). Qualitative inquiry and research design: Choosing among five approaches (4th ed.). SAGE Publications.

Dunlosky, J., & Metcalfe, J. (2009). Metacognition. SAGE Publications.

Efklides, A. (2009). The role of metacognitive experiences in the learning process. Psicothema, 21(1), 76–82.

Efklides, A. (2011). Interactions of metacognition with motivation and affect in self-regulated learning: The MASRL model. Educational Psychologist, 46(1), 6–25.

Fitrianto, I. (2019). تنفيذ الدورة المكثفة في اللغة العربية لطلاب الكلية الجامعية الإسلامية KUIS: ماليزيا بجامعة دار السلام كونتور العام 2018 العالمية بسلانجور (Doctoral dissertation, University of Darussalam Gontor).

Fitrianto, I. (2024). Critical Reasoning Skills: Designing an Education Curriculum Relevant to Social and Economic Needs. International Journal of Post Axial: Futuristic Teaching and Learning, 245-258.

Fitrianto, I. (2024). Innovation and Technology in Arabic Language Learning in Indonesia: Trends and Implications. International Journal of Post Axial: Futuristic Teaching and Learning, 134-150.

Fitrianto, I. (2024). Strategi Guru Pai Dalam Mengatasi Kesulitan Belajar Pada Mata Pelajaran Hadis Kelas 8 MTS Ibadurrahman Subaim. IJER: Indonesian Journal of Educational Research, 356-363.

Fitrianto, I. (2025). Beyond Competence: Rethinking Education for Holistic Well-Being and Happiness. International Journal of Post Axial: Futuristic Teaching and Learning, 1-11.

Fitrianto, I., & Abdillah, F. M. (2018). MODEL PEMBELAJARAN PROGAM PEMANTAPAN BAHASA ARAB DAN SHAHSIAH (KEMBARA) KE 4 MAHASISWA KOLEJ UNIVERSITI ISLAM ANTAR BANGSA SELANGOR (KUIS) TAHUN 2018. University of Darussalam Gontor 15-16 September 2018, 121.

Fitrianto, I., & Farisi, M. (2025). Integrating Local Wisdom into 21st Century Skills: A Contextual Framework for Culturally Relevant Pedagogy in Rural Classrooms. International Journal of Post Axial: Futuristic Teaching and Learning, 109-121.

Fitrianto, I., & Hamid, R. (2024). Morphosemantic Changes in the Arabic Language in the Social Media Era: A Study of Neologisms and Their Impact on Youth Communication/ التغيرات المورفوسيمانتية في اللغة العربية في عصر وسائل التواصل الاجتماعي: دراسة حول النيو لوغيزم وتأثيرها على تواصل الشباب. IJAS: International Journal of Arabic Studies, 1(1 September), 25-39.

Fitrianto, I., & Layalin, N. A. (2025). The Paradigm of Physical Punishment from the Perspective of Islamic Education and Its Implementation in Indonesia and Malaysia. International Journal of Post Axial: Futuristic Teaching and Learning, 147-156.

Fitrianto, I., & Saif, A. (2024). The role of virtual reality in enhancing Experiential Learning: a comparative study of traditional and immersive learning environments. International Journal of Post Axial: Futuristic Teaching and Learning, 97-110.

Fitrianto, I., Al-Faruqi, M. R., & Hanifah, N. A. (2025). The Contributions of Ibn Malik to Arabic Language Education: A Historical and Pedagogical Analysis. IJAS: International Journal of Arabic Studies, 1-11.

Fitrianto, I., Hamid, R., & Mulalic, A. (2023). The effectiveness of the learning strategy" think, talk, write" and snowball for improving learning achievement in lessons insya'at Islamic Boarding School Arisalah. International Journal of Post Axial: Futuristic Teaching and Learning, 13-22.

Flavell, J. H. (1979). Metacognition and cognitive monitoring: A new area of cognitive–developmental inquiry. American Psychologist, 34(10), 906–911. https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.34.10.906

Haq, U. S., Prianto, S., & Fitrianto, I. (2024). Implementasi Metode Al-Qiyasiyyah Dan Al-Istiqrariyyah Terhadap Pembelajaran Ilmu Nahwu. IJER: Indonesian Journal of Educational Research, 216-226.

Julkifli, J., Mastur, M., & Fitrianto, I. (2025). Julkifli, Ibnu Fitrianto Metode Langsung (Thaîqah Mubãsyarah) Dalam Pembelajaran Bahasa Arab di Pondok Pesantren Bin Baz Yogyakarta. Jurnal Al-Fawa'id: Jurnal Agama dan Bahasa, 15(1), 158-173.

Krueger, R. A., & Casey, M. A. (2015). Focus groups: A practical guide for applied research (5th ed.). SAGE Publications.

Kvale, S., & Brinkmann, S. (2015). InterViews: Learning the craft of qualitative research interviewing (3rd ed.). SAGE Publications.

Lincoln, Y. S., & Guba, E. G. (1985). Naturalistic inquiry. SAGE Publications.

Maxwell, J. A. (2013). Qualitative research design: An interactive approach (3rd ed.). SAGE Publications.

Merriam, S. B., & Tisdell, E. J. (2016). Qualitative research: A guide to design and implementation (4th ed.). Jossey-Bass.

Moon, J. A. (2006). Learning journals: A handbook for reflective practice and professional development (2nd ed.). Routledge.

Nashihin, H., Rachman, Y. A., & Fitrianto, I. (2025). Empowering creativity of disabled students through sustainable agropreneur education at ainul yakin islamic boarding school in yogyakarta to support the SDGs. Journal of Lifestyle and SDGs Review, 5(2), e02878-e02878.

aris, S. G., & Winograd, P. (1990). How metacognition can promote academic learning and instruction. In B. F. Jones & L. Idol (Eds.), Dimensions of thinking and cognitive instruction (pp. 15–51). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Patton, M. Q. (2015). Qualitative research & evaluation methods: Integrating theory and practice (4th ed.).

SAGE Publications.

Pintrich, P. R. (2000). The role of goal orientation in self-regulated learning. In M. Boekaerts, P. R. Pintrich, & M. Zeidner (Eds.), Handbook of self-regulation (pp. 451–502). Academic Press. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-012109890-2/50043-3

Pintrich, P. R. (2002). The role of metacognitive knowledge in learning, teaching, and assessing. Theory Into Practice, 41(4), 219–225. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15430421tip4104_3

Purdie, N., Hattie, J., & Douglas, G. (1996). Student conceptions of learning and their use of self-regulated learning strategies: A cross-cultural comparison. Journal of Educational Psychology, 88(1), 87–100. https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-0663.88.1.87

Said, A. H., Fitrianto, I., & Jamilah, H. A. (2022). الأفعال المتعدّية بحرف الجرّ في كتاب القراءة الوافية المقرّر للصّفّ السّادس دلالية/Transgressive verbs (Harf Jar) in the sixth-grade reading book (Grammatica/Transgressive verbs (Harf Jar) in the sixth-grade reading book (Grammatical semantic study). Diwan: Jurnal Bahasa dan Sastra Arab, 8(1).

Schraw, G. (1998). Promoting general metacognitive awareness. Instructional Science, 26(1–2), 113–125. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1003044231033

Schraw, G., & Dennison, R. S. (1994). Assessing metacognitive awareness. Contemporary Educational Psychology, 19(4), 460–475. https://doi.org/10.1006/ceps.1994.1033

Schraw, G., & Moshman, D. (1995). Metacognitive theories. Educational Psychology Review, 7(4), 351–371. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02212307

Veenman, M. V. J. (2011). Learning to self-monitor and self-regulate. In R. E. Mayer & P. A. Alexander (Eds.), Handbook of research on learning and instruction (pp. 197–218). New York, NY: Routledge.

Veenman, M. V. J., Van Hout-Wolters, B. H. A. M., & Afflerbach, P. (2006). Metacognition and learning: Conceptual and methodological considerations. Metacognition and Learning, 1(1), 3–14. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11409-006-6893-0

Whitebread, D., Coltman, P., Pasternak, D. P., Sangster, C., Grau, V., Bingham, S., Almeqdad, Q., & Demetriou, D. (2009). The development of two observational tools for assessing metacognition and self-regulated learning in young children. Metacognition and Learning, 4(1), 63–85. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11409-008-9033-1

Winne, P. H., & Hadwin, A. F. (2008). The weave of motivation and self-regulated learning. In D. H. Schunk & B. J. Zimmerman (Eds.), Motivation and self-regulated learning: Theory, research, and practice (pp. 297–314). Lawrence Erlbaum.

Zimmerman, B. J. (2002). Becoming a self-regulated learner: An overview. Theory Into Practice, 41(2), 64–70. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15430421tip4102_2

Zimmerman, B. J. (2008). Investigating self-regulation and motivation: Historical background, methodological developments, and future prospects. American Educational Research Journal, 45(1), 166–183.

Zimmerman, B. J. (2013). Theories of self-regulated learning and academic achievement: An overview and analysis. In B. J. Zimmerman & D. H. Schunk (Eds.), Handbook of self-regulation of learning and performance (pp. 1–12). Routledge.